

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7071
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 07-0653-SI
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
)	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
v.)	
)	
ABEL LOPEZ-MENERA,)	
a/k/a Jose Lopez-Martinez,)	
a/k/a Bernabe Banderas Lopez,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

On November 9, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that time from November 9, 2007 through November 16, 2007 should be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations because defense counsel needs adequate time to review discovery that the United States would produce after the parties' November 9, 2007 court appearance. The parties represented to the Court that the length of the requested continuance was the reasonable amount of time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of

1 due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice
2 served by this continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a
3 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

4
5 SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully submitted,

6
7 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS
United States Attorney

8
9 DATED: November 15, 2007

/s/
TAREK J. HELOU
Assistant United States Attorney

10
11
12 DATED: November 15, 2007

/s/
ELIZABETH FALK
Attorney for Defendant Abel Lopez-Menera

13
14
15 As the Court noted on November 9, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds
16 that the ends of justice served by the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the
17 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court also finds that time from November 9,
18 2007 through November 16, 2007 should be excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations for
19 effective preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Failing to grant the
20 requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
21 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage
22 of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

23
24 SO ORDERED.

25
26 DATED: _____

THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge